

## South Worcestershire Development Plan Review Publication Consultation (Regulation 19)

### Response Form

Please return by **23:59 on Friday 23 December 2022 to:**

South Worcestershire Development Plan Team  
Civic Centre  
Queen Elizabeth Drive  
Pershore  
WR10 1PT

or email [contact@swdevelopmentplan.org](mailto:contact@swdevelopmentplan.org)

Ref:

(For official use only)

#### How we will use your details

The personal information you provide on this form will be held and processed in accordance with the requirements of the Data Protection Act 1998 and the General Data Protection Regulation 2018. Please note that your name and comments may be made publicly available when displaying and reporting the outcome of this consultation and cannot be treated as confidential. Any other details, including signatures, private telephone numbers and email addresses will not be published on the council's website, but the original representations with personal details redacted will be available in full for inspection on request. Your details will be retained in order for us to validate your comments. We will use these details to continue to notify you of the progress on planning policy documents within south Worcestershire. If you no longer wish to receive notifications, you can email us or write to us to be removed from the consultation list, however this will impact upon your right to be notified of progress with the document you are commenting on.

*This form has two parts –*

*Part A – Personal Details: only needs to be completed once.*

*Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.*

## **Part A**

1. Personal  
Details\*

2. Agent's Details (if  
applicable)

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)*

*boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

*Title*

*First Name*

*Last Name*

*Job Title*

*(where relevant)*

*Organisation*

*(where relevant)*

*Address Line 1*



*Line 2*

*Line 3*

*Line 4*

*Post Code*

*Telephone  
Number*

*Email Address*

*(where relevant)*



## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: Gail Fletcher

3. To which part of the SWDP Review Publication Consultation (Regulation 19) Plan does this representation relate?

Please tick as appropriate

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details in the box below of why you consider the SWDP Review Publication Consultation (Regulation 19) Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the SWDP Review Publication Consultation (Regulation 19) Plan please set this out below.

See Planning Inspectorate decision of 25<sup>th</sup> November on Appeal Inquiry held on 25-28 October 2022 and 1 November 2022

23. "much development has already been granted planning permission in the vicinity and a degree of congestion on the network is evident, both in visiting the area and from the evidence submitted...there are a number of areas that cast significant doubt over whether the impact of the developments have been accurately predicted or assessed proportionately"

Traffic along the B4080 has increased significantly since development along the A46 started. Local people are aware of this but the models do not necessarily show the reality. Evidence

for this is the cancellation of the 2023 Tewkesbury half-marathon due to roads no longer being deemed safe enough for such an event.

24. "in this case, a blanket discount across all expected trips ignores the realistic potential for such a modal shift on certain routes, where walking, cycling and bus usage will be less likely/practical. Applying a more fine grain analysis which considers various likely routes can be, and is in this case, necessary where there are capacity issues on certain routes or at certain junctions".

34. the inspector does not "...consider that the transport assessment work takes a sufficiently precautionary or robust approach".

I agree: Local bus services are infrequent. The bus company has said it may scrap the Mitton service completely in the near future. In reality car journeys to the secondary school will increase as roads will not be safe for children to ride bikes there. In addition inhabitants of any new housing towards Hardwick Bank Road junction would be unlikely to walk the distance into Tewkesbury to access services. Therefore the number of car journeys estimated to result from 1000 extra houses in the area is not sound.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the SWDP Review Publication Consultation (Regulation 19) Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). Please say why each modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

N/A as I do not believe this to be an appropriate site for any such development.

I would though like it to be noted that I feel this process is discriminatory as many people who would like to have their opinion heard and state why this development should not go ahead have not been able to do so as they have been put off by the complexity of this form. It needs to be more user friendly.

continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation(s) you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation(s) and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

7. Please provide any representations on the **Publication Draft Sustainability Appraisal** and/or **Publication Draft Habitat Regulations Assessment**.

**After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for Examination.**

8. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearing session(s)?

√

**No**, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**Yes**, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

9. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

10. Signature:

Date:

22/12/2022

## **Guidance Note to Accompany Representation Form**

1.1. The SWDP Review Publication Consultation (Regulation 19) Plan has been published by the South Worcestershire Councils of Malvern Hills District, Worcester City and Wychavon District Councils in order for representations to be made on it before it is submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for Examination. The *Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004*, as amended, [PCPA] states that the purpose of the Examination is to consider whether a plan complies with the relevant legal requirements, including the duty to co-operate, and assess if it is sound. The Inspector will consider all representations on the Plan that are made within the period set by the Local Planning Authorities (LPA).

1.2. To ensure an effective and fair examination, it is important that the Inspector and all other participants in the Examination process are able to know who has made representations on the Plan. The LPAs will therefore ensure that the names and addresses of those making representations can be made available and taken into account by the Inspector.

1.3. Where groups or individuals share a common view on the Plan, it would be very helpful if they would make a single representation which represents that view, rather than a large number of separate representations repeating the same points. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised.

1.4. Please consider carefully how you would like your representation to be dealt with at the examination: whether you are content to rely on your written representation, or whether you wish to take part in hearing session(s). Only representors who are seeking a change to the plan have a right to be heard at the hearing session(s), if they so request. In considering this, please note that written and oral representations carry the same weight and will be given equal consideration in the examination process.